92) Three colophons* — I. *buḥḥušu*, "to copy" (?): K.6075 is a Babylonian manuscript of a *mukallimtu*-commentary on *Isru*, the first chapter of the *Barûtu* series (see Frahm 2011, 171f.). This unpublished tablet preserves an interesting colophon:

```
r 14. [... ultu libbi] ^{\rm gi \mathring{s}} le_9-e šá ki-i pī(ka) ṭup-pí
```

15. [... šaṭru za]-mar bu-uḥ-ḥuš-ma ba-rì

r 14. [... from a] wooden writing board which [was written] according to a tablet [..., qui]ckly *copied* (*buḥḥušu*) and collated.

Philological notes: r 14-15. For the indication of the *Vorlage* in colophons, see Hunger 1968, 6 fn. 1.

One manuscript of the series i-NAM-giš-hur-an-ki-a, K.2670 (3*R* 2 22), of which only the colophon is preserved (*BAK* no. 299, edited by Livingstone 1986, 28 and 44), and which was written by Nabû-zuqup-kēna, contains the only other known attestation of the verb *buḥḥušu*. The text is dated in 683 BC, when Nabû-zuqup-kēna was probably of an advanced age, and reads as follows (ll. 7'-8'): *ana tāmarti ištar-šuma-ēreš mārīya ultu* 1½ *šanāt*[e] | *digla ukabbir-ma zamar ubaḥḥiš-ma abr*[i], "*having overtaxed* my eyes for one year and a half, I quickly *copied* and collated for my (grand)son Ištar-šuma-ēreš to read."

Hunger 1968, 93 fn. 4 argues that, in view of the frequency of the expression saniq-ma bari in colophons, buḥḥušu should be an equivalent of the former verb, sanāqu, with the meaning "to check." However, zamar (or ḥamṭiš, "quickly") never qualifies sanāqu in colophons, only nasāḥu, "to copy" and, rarely, šaṭāru, "to write" (see Hunger 1968, 181). It therefore seems more plausible that buḥhušu is a functional equivalent of nasāḥu, "to copy" (on the meaning of nasāḥu, see Black 1985), notwithstanding its alleged Aramaic etymology (von Soden 1977, 185, but see now Abraham & Sokoloff 2011, 28b and 64). Note that the expression nasiḥ-ma bari occurs in another Babylonian colophon from Kuyunjik (BAK no. 479).

2. Rose of your breath: Two excerpt tablets from the extispicy series (BLO no. 90 and 93, the text cited here is the former, K.693I+ = BLO pl. xxxii) contain the same colophon formula, which seems to be otherwise unattested. Collation has revealed an interesting new nuance:

- I. Whoever trusts you will not be ashamed, oh Nabû!
- 2. Blessed is he who, in this calamity, smells your sweet breath!

Philological notes: 2. For the wording, cf. K.9884 and dupl. (unpubl. hymn to Nabû) l. 14': *na-ḥi-iš muš-te-* '-*k*[*a* ...], "blessed is he who worships yo[u ...]".

The scent of the "sweet breath" of Nabû is thus a sign of salvation for the fallen in adversity. The smell of the "sweet breath" of a god seems to be attested elsewhere only in *Enūma eliš* VII 23, of which this colophon is probably a paraphrase:

- 22. ša mimmâni īșu ana ma 'de uterru 23. ina pušqi danni nīșinu šāršu ţāba
- 22. Who turns our need into abundance,
- 23. whose sweet breath we sniffed in pressing straits.

The demonstrative pronoun $ag\hat{a}$ in our text could refer to the "shame" mentioned in the first line or, perhaps, to the various calamities mentioned in the apodoses of the divinatory text preceding the colophon.

3. A recherché scribe. BM 34223+ is a manuscript of the exorcistic series referred to by Schramm (2008) as "Compendium." It was first copied by Thompson as *CT* 17 18 and its colophon was subsequently transcribed in *BAK* no. 421, but little of it could be understood at the time. A new join has since been found, and Schramm has copied the text anew (2008, pls. xxxv-xxxvi), so a better under-standing is now possible. Schramm does not transcribe the colophon, and he offers only some notes on it (2008, 176). The tablet could not be located for collation in the British Museum in October 2013, so the edition provided below relies on both Thompson's and Schramm's copies (places where the reading differs from both are marked with an exclamation mark, and require collation).

This colophon, with its unusual sign values (such as δa_{11} or ba_4), strange words ($d\bar{a}du$ or $gin\hat{u}$), peculiar use of logograms (im-sar-ra for tuppu), and unusual god names (Ninbaragesi for Zarpanītu), is a particularly good example of the cryptographic style some colophons present at the very end of cunei-form culture. The tablet was written in Babylon on 13 April 183 BC.

(iv 20') Written, collated and checked according to its original. (iv 21') Tablet of Bēl-īpuš, son of *Ea-...-zēri* (?), (iv 22') descendant of Bēlīya'u. Hand(writing) of [...], (iv 23') his son.

He who reveres Asaralim (= Marduk) and Ninbaragesi (= Zarpanītum), (iv 24') [Kūsu and N]ingirim should not steal it, (iv 25') [nor carry it away]. Whoever should [era]se this inscription, (iv 26') may Šamaš (iv 27') erase (iv 26') his name and progeny from all countries!

(iv 27') Babylon, (iv 28') the 16th of Nisan of the 129th year (iv 29') of Seleucus, king of all lands.

Philological notes: iv 20'. The reading δu_x of KAD₄ can perhaps be explained by the well-known LB use of the signs KAD_{4/5} as a logogram for $q\bar{a}tu$, "hand". u_6 is never used as the copula, but seems to be a better reading than \dot{u} ! or igi-kár. iv 21'. On im-sarra as a logogram for tuppu, see Hunger 1968, 8b. iv 22'. If the ancestor name is taken as an hypocorism of Bēlšunu (cf. the name Bēlyû, ^men-iu-u, in LKU 128 r 8 = BAK no. 78), the scribe might be identified with the Bēl-īpuš s. Ea-bāni d. Bēlšunu from a colophon

written in Greek alphabet (Geller 1997, 81). iv 23' lil_{l_x} is apparently never attested as a reading of NE, but li_9 is a frequent value of the sign in colophons (see above n° 1). Asaralim and Ninbaragesi are attested as names of Marduk and Zarpanītu respectively in several god lists, *e.g.* An = *Anu* II 189 and 236 (Litke 1998, 90 and 95). iv 26'-27'. The reading of the signs at the beginning of the line is uncertain.

* Thanks are expressed to B. Böck, E. Frahm and M. Frazer for their kind suggestions.

Bibliography

Abbreviations: BAK = Hunger 1968; BLO = Koch-Westenholz 2000.

Abraham, K., and Sokoloff, M. 2011, "Aramaic Loanwords in Akkadian – A Reassessment of the Proposals." *AfO* 52: 22-76.

Black, J.A. 1985, "nasāḥu 'to copy'." RA 79: 92-93.

Frahm, E. 2011, Babylonian and Assyrian Text Commentaries. Origins of Interpretation. Münster.

Geller, M.J. 1997, "The Last Wedge." ZA 87: 43-95.

Hunger, H. 1968, Babylonische und assyrische Kolophone. (AOAT 2). Neukirchen-Vluyn.

Koch-Westenholz, U. 2000, Babylonian Liver Omens. The Chapters Manzāzu, Padānu and Pān Tākalti of the Babylonian Extispicy Series mainly from Aššurbanipal's Library. (CNIP 25). Copenhagen.

Litke, R.L. 1998, A Reconstruction of the Assyro-Babylonian God-Lists. AN: ^da-nu-um and AN: Anu ša amēli. (TBC 3). New Haven.

Livingstone, A. 1986, Mystical and Mythological Explanatory Works of Assyrian and Babylonian Scholars. Winona Lake, Indiana.

Schramm, W. 2008, Ein Compendium sumerisch-akkadischer Beschwörungen. (GBAO 2). Göttingen.

von Soden, W. 1977, "Aramäische Wörter in neuassyrischen und neu- und spätbabylonischen Texten. Ein Vorbericht. III." OrNS 46: 183-197.

Enrique JIMÉNEZ <enrique.jimenez@yale.edu> Bab. Collection, Yale Univ. Library, 130 Wall Street, Box 208240New Haven, CT 06520-8240, USA